

**ENTERED**

April 11, 2023

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

DIXIE ANN MORPHIS,

Petitioner,

VS.

WARDEN HAWKINS (FPC BRYAN),

Respondent.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Case No. 4:22-CV-02402

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Pending before the Court is the March 8, 2023 Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) prepared by Magistrate Judge Peter Bray. (Dkt. No. 22). Magistrate Judge Bray made findings and conclusions and recommended that the Government’s Motion for Summary Judgment, (Dkt. No. 13), be granted and that Morphis’s petition for writ of habeas corpus be denied.

The Parties were provided proper notice and the opportunity to object to the R&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No party filed an objection. As a result, review is straightforward: plain error. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005). No plain error appears.


Accordingly, the Court accepts the R&R and adopts it as the opinion of the Court.

It is therefore ordered that:

- (1) Magistrate Judge Bray’s R&R (Dkt. No. 22) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Respondent’s Motion for Summary Judgment, (Dkt. No. 13), is **GRANTED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

Signed on April 10, 2023.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DREW B. TIPTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE